

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,587		05/03/2002	Audrey Goddard	P3230R1C001-168 4546	
30313	7590	03/04/2005		EXAMINER	
		NS, OLSON &	KAUFMAN, CLAIRE M		
2040 MAIN IRVINE, C				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1646	

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisorv Action	10/063.587	EATON ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	·						
•							
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	<u> </u>						
Advisory Action 10/063,587 EATON ET AL.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See attached.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance beca	use:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 10/063,587

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Action Continued:

The majority of Applicants' arguments appearing in the Remarks filed on 2/14/05 were addressed in the previous Office action and remain unpersuasive. All rejections are maintained for reasons of record. New arguments only are addressed here.

Under utility, Applicants argue (p. 5-7) that the present situation is like that in *Cross* or *Fujikawa* in which *in vitro* testing supported use *in vivo*, and supports reliance on a "reasonable correlation" between expression data and diagnostic utility. The argument has been fully considered, but is not persuasive. At issue is **not** whether *in vitro* microarray/expression data can *per se* support use of differential expression for diagnostic purposes. The issue in this application is the insufficiency of disclosure to support a specific and substantial or well established utility or to allow the skilled artisan to use the claimed invention without undue experimentation. Because as previously discussed there is critical information lacking which includes: whether differences in nucleic acid expression of PRO1357 were significant, under what conditions differences could be detected, and what levels (relative or absolute) were detected in tumor and normal control, the skilled artisan cannot use (whether *in vivo* or *in vitro*) the claimed invention. It is possible that with the lacking information in hand, the skilled artisan could make a reasonable correlation between at least nucleic acid expression data and diagnostic utility.

Applicants argue (p. 11) that in addition to references previously submitted, those of the Alberts et al. and Lewin textbooks and Zhigang et al. support the correlation between cDNA/mRNA expression and protein expression. The argument has been fully considered, but is not persuasive. The argument of correlation between nucleic acid and protein expression has been previously addressed, but it should be noted that with the Office maintaining that the instant specification does not support utility for the polynucleotide as a diagnostic tool, a diagnostic use for the protein or antibody is likewise not supported, regardless of whether their levels correspond.

Under enablement, Applicants argue (p. 18) that a use of the encoded PRO1357 polypeptide is creation of diagnostic and therapeutic antibodies. The argument has been fully considered, but is not persuasive. If the polypeptide is not enabled, then an antibody which binds it is also not enabled.

Art Unit: 1646

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Claire M. Kaufman, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0873. Dr. Kaufman can generally be reached Monday, Tuesday and Thursday from 8:30AM to 2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached at (571) 272-0829.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (571) 273-8300. NOTE: If applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office. **Please** advise the examiner at the telephone number above before facsimile transmission.

Claire M. Kaufman, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1646

March 2, 2005

LORRAINE SPECTOR
PRIMARY EXAMINED